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ABSTRACT

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a major bacterial infection causing serious health problem in pregnant women. The physiological and anatomical changes in pregnancy facilitate urinary tract infection (UTI) during pregnancy. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy is associated with pyelonephritis, preterm labour and low birth weight infants. The study was designed to characterise phenotypically and genetically the major organism associated with UTI among pregnant women in Osun State. A cross-sectional study design was used to collect mid-stream urine samples between March 2018 to September 2018 from 150 pregnant and 50 non-pregnant women which serve as control. Samples were inoculated into Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) medium, subcultured onto MacConkey and Blood agar plates. A standard agar disc diffusion method was used to determine antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the isolates and the molecular detection of the antibiotic resistant genes were done. Data were subjected to descriptive statistics. The ages of women enrolled in this study ranges from 22 to 43 years (mean ± standard deviation = 25 ± 4.7 years). The
predominant bacteria identified were *E. coli* (34.5%), *S. aureus* (10.3%), coagulase negative Staphylococci [CoNS] (17.2%), *Klebsiella* species (6.9%) and *Enterobacter* species (31.0%). Majority of Gram-negative bacteria isolates were resistant to ampicillin (70%), cefotaxime (62%), while 75–100% of the Gram positive isolates were resistant to ampicillin. Multiple drug resistance was observed, all the *E. coli* isolates were resistant to Cefotaxime, meropenem and ampicillin. Of *E. coli* isolates, 4, 3 and 6 were positive for the VIM, ctx-M and TEM genes respectively. Similarly, the risk of UTI was higher in those had previous UTI history (OR = 2.29, 95% CI = 1.15–4.56, P = 0.019) as compared to those who had no previous history of UTI.
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### 1. INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection is a common health problem among pregnant women [1]. Urinary tract infection (UTI), otherwise known as bladder infection, is a bacterial inflammation in the urinary tract. Pregnant women are at increased risk for UTI’s especially during first and second trimesters. It has been observed that pregnant women have a propensity to develop recurrent UTIs [2]. Moreover, UTI can be dangerous for both the mother and fetus. Complications that can arise include preterm delivery, premature rupture of membrane and increased incidence of intrauterine growth restriction. Also, preeclampsia, caesarean delivery, anemia, sepsis, and septic shock may also be associated with UTI in these patients [3]. *E. coli* is the most incriminating pathogen causing UTI. The rate of *E. coli* infection among women is alarming, thereby posing a very big health issue among pregnant and non-pregnant women. Treatment of UTI is important in keeping with the goal of safe motherhood initiative; that women safely go through pregnancy and childbirth and produce healthy babies. Antibiotics are prescribed for the treatment of infection. However, antimicrobial resistance to clinically important drugs used for treatment is increasing. Drug resistance in *E. coli* must be promptly addressed before multiple resistant strains start emerging and spreading among the pregnant women.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the drug resistance profile and molecular detection of ctx-M, VIM and TEM genes in *E. coli* isolated from among pregnant and non-pregnant women with urinary tract infections (UTI) in Osogbo.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### 2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in the city of Osogbo, Osun State from March 2018 to September 2018.

#### 2.2 Ethics Statement

This work was performed according to University ethics committee code of conduct, verbal informed consent was obtained from all participating subjects.

#### 2.3 Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the State Ministry of Health.

#### 2.4 Study Population

The study population were pregnant women attending antenatal clinic (ANC) at Onward specialist hospital, Agunbelewo, Osogbo and Primary health centre, Atelewo, Osogbo during the study period, and some selected non-pregnant women around Osogbo metropolis, those who did not initiate antimicrobial drug therapy for at least 2 weeks prior to sample collection. They were registered in the project register where basic demographic data about the patients including name, age, sex, ward etc. were recorded.

Sample size calculation was done using Leslie Fisher’s formula

Sampling methods: Study participants were selected using simple random sampling technique for the selection of pregnant and the non-pregnant women across Osogbo town.

The calculated sample size was proportionally distributed to Onward hospital (n = 54), Primary Health Centre, Atelewo (n = 96), and non pregnant women (n= 50).

#### 2.5 Sample Collection

A total of 200 mid-stream urine (MSU) samples were collected from the participants. Ten to fifteen milliliter of freshly voided midstream urine samples were used for microscopic investigation
and culture media inoculation. Urine samples were processed within 4 h of collection [4].

In the laboratory, urine samples were centrifuged at 1500 RPM for 5 min. After centrifugation a drop of the sediment was placed on the grease free slide, covered with cover slip and examined under the microscope using the high power objective lens (40X).

Reporting system for microscopic identification was done for pus cells, red blood cells (RBCs), epithelial cells, casts, crystals, yeast cells [5].

2.6 Isolation and Identification

Standard loop technique was used to place 0.001 ml of urine for inoculation on Cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 h [6]. The numbers of colonies were counted to quantify organisms.

Diagnosis of UTI is defined on the basis of significant colony count of ≥105 cfu/ml for Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria [7].

Growths on the culture media were identified by using bacterial growth characteristics (morphology), Gram staining and general biochemical tests [8].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of Uropathogens: The antimicrobial susceptibility testing of all isolates was done using commercial disks following the standard disk diffusion method recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS 2012).

The drugs that tested were Penicillin (PEN, 30 μg), Ampicillin (AMP, 30 μg), Ciprofloxacin (CPR, 5 μg), Levofloxacin (LEV, 10 μg), Cefuroxime (CPX, 10 μg), Cefotaxime (CTX, 10 μg), Tetracycline (TET, 300 μg) and Meropenem (MEM, 1.25 μg).

All the antimicrobials used for the study were purchased from Oxoid Limited Bashing store, USA.

Genotypic Identification of E. coli isolates E. coli isolates were grown overnight at 37°C on blood agar or for 24 h on LB agar without salt for DNA extraction.

2.7 DNA Extraction

The DNA molecules of the isolates were extracted by suspending bacterial colonies in 500 μl of sterile distilled water in appropriately labelled Eppendorf tubes.

The cells were washed three times in sterile distilled water while vortexing and centrifuging at 10, 000 rpm. Tubes were covered and sealed with paraffin tape to prevent accidental opening.

After the last washing, the bacterial suspensions were boiled at 100°C for 10 minutes in water bath and cold shocked in ice for 2 minutes. The boiled suspension contained the DNA.

2.8 PCR Amplification

The polymerase chain reaction was set up in a PCR vial, after adding the master mix, the forward and reverse primers and the extracted DNA. A 20µl reaction containing 2µl of 10X buffer, 1µl Mgcl₂, 0.8µl dNTPs, 0.5µl of forward primer, 0.5µl of reverse primer, 0.2 µl Taq polymerase, 10µl of nuclease free water and 5µl of DNA lysate was used for PCR.

Amplification was subjected to initial denaturation at 95°C for 5min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C, 56°C, 54°C, 47°C, 52°C for 1 min, for ctx-M, VIM and TEM respectively, extension at 72°C for 1 min and final extension procedure was carried out at 72°C for 10min.

2.9 Gel Electrophoresis

At the completion of the amplification, PCR products were resolved on 1.5% agarose gel prepared by dissolving 1.5 g of agarose powder in 100 ml of 1X Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer solution inside a clean conical flask.

The 1.5% agarose solution was heated in a microwave oven for 2-3 minutes and was observed for clarity which was an indication of complete dissolution. The mixture was then allowed to cool to about 50°C after which 0.5 µl of ethidium bromide was then added. It was allowed to cool further and then poured into a tray sealed at both ends with support to form a mould with special combs placed in it to create wells.

The comb was carefully removed after the gel had set and the plate was placed inside the electrophoresis tank which contained 1X TBE solution stained with 1ug/ml of ethidium bromide.
solution and loaded to the well of the agarose gel. The power supply was adjusted to 100 volts for 25 minutes. For each run, a 100 base-pair molecule weight DNA standard (size marker) was used to determine the size of each PCR product.

The DNA bands were then visualized with a short wave ultraviolet trans-illuminator and photographed using gene gel bio imaging system. The PCR product was then analyzed.

2.10 Statistical Analysis

Data from laboratory investigation and questionnaire survey was entered into Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet. Descriptive statistics was used to summarize the data. Chi-square test was used to assess differences in the proportions of culture positive and negative participants. The prevalence of UTI was calculated. To determine predictors of bacteriuria, odds ratios were calculated using likelihood estimation technique. Independent variables (age, level of education, monthly income, parity, residence, washing habit and previous history of UTI) which are non-collinear and with P-values ≤0.25 in univariable logistic regression analysis were further tested via multivariable logistic regression in order to get adjusted odds ratios and significant predictors of UTI in pregnant women. P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

There were 200 women enrolled in this study; 150 pregnant women, and 50 non-pregnant women. Of the positive urine samples for UTI, 21 (72.0%) and 8 (28.0%) were pregnant and non-pregnant women respectively (Table 1). The age of pregnant women enrolled in this study ranges from 22 to 43 years and non-pregnant women from 25-41 years (Table 2).

From 200 urine samples, 29 (14.5%) (95% CI: 14.4–23.54%) were culture positive with colony count of more than $10^5$ cfu/ml. Five bacterial species of UTI were isolated in which *E. coli* (n = 10) was the predominant bacteria followed by *Klebsiella* spp. in 9 cases (31%), *S. saprophyticus* was isolated in 5 cases (17.2%) *S. aureus* in 3 patients (10.3%) and *Enterobacter aerogenes* in two patients (6.9%) (Table 3). The prevalence of symptomatic and asymptomatic UTI was 20.4% (95% CI: 13.09–29.46%) and 17.8% (95% CI: 12.70–23.83%) respectively. Of the 29 bacterial isolates, 7 (47.6%) were from private hospital and the remaining 14 (52.4%) were from government hospital and 8 from selected people. Monthly income, personal hygienic habits and previous history of UTI are significantly associated with prevalence of UTI (P < 0.05). One hundred and twenty five (62.5%) of study participants had income level of 20000–50000 Nigerian naira (21.23–42.37 USD) and seventy five earn above. On the basis of their lifestyle about 115 (57.5%), had a lower level of personal hygiene. About 11 (38%) of positive pregnant women had previous history of UTI.

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial uropathogens: Bacterial uropathogen isolates from patients with UTIs revealed the presence of high levels of single and multiple antimicrobial resistance against commonly prescribed drugs. Gram-negative isolates showed higher resistance pattern in comparison to Gram-positive for most of commonly prescribed antibiotics. *E. coli*, which is the predominant cause of UTI, showed high percentage of resistance to ampicillin and low resistance to ciprofloxacin and penicillin (Table 4). All the *E. coli* isolates are sensitive to levofloxacin, and all are resistant to meropenem.

Table 1. Positive samples of pregnant and non-pregnant women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>No of samples</th>
<th>Positive culture</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant women</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>57.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-pregnant women</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Gestational ages of pregnant women enrolled in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Patient age range (yr)</th>
<th>Gestational age range (wk)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
<th>First trimester</th>
<th>Second trimester</th>
<th>Third trimester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onward</td>
<td>25-42</td>
<td>10-40</td>
<td>54 (27%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelewo</td>
<td>22-43</td>
<td>8-39</td>
<td>96 (48%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Distribution of the Uropathogens among pregnant and non-pregnant women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uropathogen</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Onward(Private)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter aerogenes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7 (25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the E. coli isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>PEN</th>
<th>AMC</th>
<th>CTX</th>
<th>CPX</th>
<th>TET</th>
<th>LEV</th>
<th>MEM</th>
<th>CIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onward</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelewo</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpregnant</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: PEN- Penicillin, AMC- Ampicillin, CTX- Cefotaxime, CPX- Cefuroxime, CIP- Ciprofloxacin, TET- Tetracycline, LEV- Levofloxacin, MEM- Meropenem

Fig. 1. Agarose gel electrophoretogram of (VIM) Escherichia coli after PCR analysis
Escherichia coli isolates which bands at 390 bp

Key: L (100 bp ladder); P – Positive; N–Negative

Fig. 2. Agarose gel electrophoretogram of CTX-M–type β-lactamases (CTX-Ms) Escherichia coli after PCR analysis. Escherichia coli isolates which bands at 585 bp
Table 5. Table showing the primers used in the PCR Amplification process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence 5’ -3’</th>
<th>Base pair (bp)</th>
<th>Annealing temp. (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M F</td>
<td>CGATGTGCAGTACCAGTAA</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M R</td>
<td>TTAGTGACCAGAATAAGCGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM F</td>
<td>CCCCCGAAAGAAGTTTTTC</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM R</td>
<td>ATCAGCAATAAACACCAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIM2004A</td>
<td>GTTTGGTCGCATATCGCAAC</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIM2004A</td>
<td>AATGCGCAGCACCAGGATAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Agarose gel electrophoretogram of TEM Escherichia coli after PCR analysis which bands at 517 bp

Multiple drug resistance patterns of the isolates: Multiple drug resistances (MDR) i.e., resistance to two or more antimicrobial drugs, was found in all the E. coli isolates (100%). All isolates of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria were resistant to at least two antimicrobials. There was no isolate sensitive to all antibiotics tested (Table 4).

Associated risk factors: Univariable logistic regression analysis showed significant association between prevalence of UTI and income level (P = 0.046), residential place (P = 0.029), personal hygiene (P = 0.04) and previous history of UTI (P = 0.028). Multivariable logistic regression revealed that the odds of acquiring UTI in pregnant women is 4.78 times higher than those of non-pregnant women (95% CI of OR = 1.03–22.21, P = 0.046). Similarly, the risk of UTI infection is twice and 2.04 times higher in those who had previous history UTI infection (OR = 2.29, 95% CI of OR = 1.15–4.56, P = 0.019), as compared to those who had no previous history of UTI.

Amplification of the resistant genes: The primers used for this PCR are ctx-M, TEM, VIM, (Table 5), and the process carried out at normal conditions as described earlier. The ctx-M resistant genes were observed at 390bp (Fig. 1). Resistant genes TEM, VIM, were observed at 585bp and 517bp (Fig. 2) and (Fig. 3).

4. DISCUSSION

The study was undertaken to determine the occurrence of urinary tract infection caused by E. coli and other uropathogens among pregnant and non-pregnant women and also to analyse the risk factors for predisposition to UTI, and resistance patterns. The culture positive urine samples belong to Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The low incidence of urinary tract infection reported in the private hospital (Onward) may be attributed to the extensive health care talk given regularly by the staff of the hospital’s ante-natal section, higher level of education and exposure, higher standard of living, among others. This study shows a higher incidence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women than non-pregnant women. A higher percentage of the organisms found in this study were isolated mainly from pregnant women. It is commonly accepted that a high frequency of UTI during pregnancy is due to physiological changes that the human body undergoes in the pregnant condition [8]. The higher incidence of urinary tract infections in
pregnant women might be as a result of a variety of factors, such as more open and exposed uterus and bladder due to distended stomach [9] and incomplete and in coordinate voiding of urine in pregnant women and encourages infection of the urinary tract [10]. A total number of 29 isolates were obtained from the 29 women with positive cultures, that is only one bacterium was isolated from each patient, suggesting a monomicrobial nature of infection in the study population. The pattern and frequency of occurrence of the bacterial isolates found in this study is similar to those reported by other workers. Lavigne et al. 2011 reported in their study that E. coli was the most commonly isolated pathogen in significant bacteriuria [11]. The result of this study shows that 100% of the E. coli isolates were sensitive to Levofloxacin, 33.3% to ampicillin, 55% to penicillin 36% to cefotaxime, 39% to cefuroxime, 77.8% to ciprofloxacin and 0% to meropenem. The antibiotic sensitivity test of this study shows that Levofloxacin was the most effective antibiotic in in vitro testing against E. coli isolates followed by ciprofloxacin which was effective against 77.8% of the isolates. A reduced sensitivity of E. coli to nitrofuratoin was observed in this study as only 45% of the E. coli was sensitive to the antibiotics as opposed to the findings of Goldraichi and Manfrori [12], who reported a higher efficacy of the drug against E. coli in vitro. They reported a sensitivity of E. coli to nitrofuratoin of 92, 95 and 94%, respectively over a three-year period. Olowu and Oyetunji reported a 57.9% sensitivity of pathogens towards nitrofuratoin [13]. In this study, Meropenem was the most ineffective antibiotic in in vitro testing, since 100% of the pathogens were resistant to it. Resistance of E. coli to cefuroxime was 40% and is in contrast to results obtained elsewhere [14]. Christiaen et al. (1998) reported a resistance of 17% to cotrimoxazole and a similar result was reported for resistance to quinolones. This study shows a high level of resistance to cefuroxime, ampicillin and tetracyclin as more than 60% of the isolates were resistant to them in vitro and, as such, these antimicrobials may not be suitable for treating case of UTI caused by E. coli in Osogbo. Multiple drug resistance was observed among E. coli, of E. coli isolates, 4, 3 and 6 were positive for the VIM, ctx-M and TEM genes respectively. Edelstein and colleagues reported that ctx-M beta lactamases have a destructive effect on Cefuroxime [15]. The detection of VIM suggested the presence of carbapenem-resistant gene and TEM the production of beta-lactamases.

5. CONCLUSION

The predominant bacteria identified were E. coli, majority of Gram-negative bacteria isolates were resistant to ampicillin, cefotaxime and meropenem while Gram positive isolates were resistant to ampicillin. Multiple drug resistance was observed, all the E. coli isolates were resistant to Cefotaxime, ampicillin and meropenem. Some of the E. coli isolates were positive for the VIM, ctx-M and TEM resistant genes.

6. RECOMMENDATION

Health education, continuous and collaborative surveillance of UTI and antimicrobial resistance pattern are essential to reduce the consequence of symptomatic and asymptomatic bacteriuria and multi-drug resistant bacteria in pregnant women. Enlightenment programs informing the general public on the importance of good personal hygiene and the implications if neglected should be encouraged. This will not only reduce the risk of UTIs but other infections as well. Likewise, there should be continuous education for pregnant women on the need to maintain a high level of personal hygiene during pregnancy as they are at high risk for the infection. They should also be educated on the importance of routine medical check-up during the period of pregnancy.
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