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ABSTRACT

Background: Geohelminths are groups of four intestinal soil transmitted parasites. They are of public health concern due to their serious morbidity they cause in children which are the major groups affected.

Aim/Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate the diagnostic performance of wet mount and concentration techniques of stool and soil samples for identification of geohelminths.

Methodology: Eighty stool samples were collected from four primary schools, while forty soil samples were collected from the important sites (playground, and classroom areas) in each of the school accessed. The stool samples were examined with the wet preparation and formol-ether concentration technique, while the soil samples were examined with the formol-ether concentration technique. Ethical approval was sought from the Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology.

Results: The results of geohelminths showed an overall prevalence of 6.25% (5/80) from the stool samples, and 27.5% (11/40) obtained from the soil. Recovery rates from the stool showed the presence of only two geohelminth; Hookworm (5%) and Strongyloides stercoralis (1.25%). Out of the four schools examined only three showed positive results with geohelminth infections, while the
remaining school showed positive results with only *Giardia lamblia*. The recovery rates from the soil also showed the same pattern of distribution as with the stool but with a higher prevalence of *Hookworm* (20.7%) and *Strongyloides stercoralis* (7.5%) with the toilet areas showing the highest prevalence (50%). The dominant species of geohelminth observed in both stool and soil was *Hookworm*. The results also showed that both male (6%) and female (6.7%) are susceptible to the infection with no significant difference between their prevalences (p>0.05).

**Conclusion:** The prevalence of Hook worm was poorly reported by wet mount technique. The diagnostic performance of concentration methods for the diagnosis of Hook worms in the samples was notably high as compared to wet mount techniques.

**Keywords:** Geohelminth; hookworm; wet mount; concentration method

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Geohelminths are soil transmitted parasites in which their immature stages (eggs) require a period of development or incubation in the soil before they become infective [1]. The phylum Nematoda are dioecious, have a direct life cycle and no intermediate host or vector involved in their transmission which implies that man is the only definitive host. The adult forms are essentially parasites of humans, causing soil-transmitted helminthiasis.

Despite global decline in the prevalence of A. lumbricoides, T. trichiura and the hookworms (A. duodenale and N. americanus) in the Americas and Asia, the situation in sub-Saharan Africa remains stagnant [2].

Stool and soil samples examination for geohelminth identification is increased by the use of concentration methods. The concentration of parasites in ova or cysts from the samples can be done in different ways [3].

Even though several diagnostic methods such as Kato-Katz and Formol-Ether Concentration (FEC) techniques are available, direct wet mount is commonly used as a reliable diagnosis method for the diagnosis of intestinal parasitic infections generally in Africa and particularly in Ethiopia [4].

The direct wet mount examination of soft-to-watery fecal specimens has a unique advantage that can detect the motile trophozoite stage of the protozoan species. However, timely processing, within 1 hour of passage of a fresh specimen, is not always possible in a busy clinical laboratory, so another alternative method is recommended, like formal-ether sedimentation techniques [5; 6].

The reliable diagnosis of parasitic infections requires a more rapid, easy, and sensitive method [7]. The Wet mount method has been chosen as a routine diagnosis because it is easy to perform, had low cost and was time saving as compared to the other two techniques. The detection rate of parasites in a single stool examination using Wet mount method is very limited due to poor sensitivity [8]. The FEC diagnostic method is very sensitive and reliable to apply intestinal parasite detection. Even though WM has low sensitivity it is still important to detect motile trophozoite and FEC essential for helminths ova detection. Therefore conducting this research was important to select the appropriate diagnostic method to detect different parasite species.

2. **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in Nnewi North Local Government Area, Anambra State. Nnewi is the second largest commercial city in Anambra State. It is located between the latitudes 5 30E, 605N and longitudes 655E and 700E. Nnewi has population of over 900,000. The major occupations of the people are farming and trading. Nnewi North comprises of four villages which are: Otolo, Nnewichi, Uruagu, Umudim.

2.2 Study Design

This study was a cross-sectional study designed to access the prevalence of geohelminths in both stool and soil samples, and risk factors promoting the transmission in pupils among the four schools. The research was carried out for a period of three month spanning from March-June 2021.

2.3 Faecal Collection

A clean leak-proof wide-mouthed transparent universal container and applicator stick was
given to each of the 80 consented pupils in the
selected schools. The pupils were educated on
how to collect the feecal samples into the stool
containers and appropriate disposal of the
contaminated materials. The pupils were asked
to take the containers home and return with it
the freshly collected stool next morning. The
stool specimens collected were transported to
the Nnamdi Azikwe University Parasitological
Laboratory immediately for processing.

2.4 Collection of Soil Sample

Forty soil samples were collected from the
playgrounds, toilets, and classrooms of the four
selected primary school. About 20g of the top soil
down to a depth of not more than 2 cm) samples
were collected with a clean spoon and
deposited in clean transparent polythene bags which
were carried to the laboratory for parasitic
examination [9].

2.4.1 Macroscopic examination

The stool were analyzed macroscopically for the
presence of blood, consistency, colour, mucus,
and presence of adult intestinal parasites or their
segments.

2.4.2 Wet preparation examination

A drop of normal saline and a drop of 1% lugol's
iodine placed at both ends on a clean grease
free slide. A clean applicator stick was used to
collect 1g of the properly mixed stool and
emulsified in normal saline and lugol's iodine.
The preparation was carefully cover slipped and
examined with the x10 and x40 microscope
objective lens. The geohelminths were identified
with the Atlas of parasitology [9].

2.4.3 Formol ether concentration technique

A clean applicator stick was used to emulsify 1g
of the stool and soil samples in 4ml of 10%
formol ether contained in clean test tube. An
additional 3ml of 10% formol ether was added to
the tube for homogenization. The emulsified
feaces was sieved through a tea strainer into a
clean centrifuge tube. 3ml of diethyl ether was
added to the filtrate, stoppered and mixed
vigorously for one minute. This was centrifuged
at 1000g for one minute. After centrifugation,
there was a 3 layered separation seen in the
tube which comprised of the ether, fecal debris,
and the formol water. The contents of the tube
were decanted leaving the sediment at the
bottom of the tube. The sediment was
resuspended and placed on a clean-grease.

Free slide and covered with a cover slip. The
preparation was examined with the x10 and x40
microscope objective. The presence of
gelatinous ova or larvae was identified with the
Atlas of Parasitology by [9].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data was statistically analysed with
Statistical Package for social science (SPSS)
version 21. Chi square (x²) test was used to
determine the differences in prevalence of
gelatinous ova or larvae among different
explanatory variables. Pearson correlation test
was used to test the relationship between
gelatinous contamination of soils and pattern
of infection among the students. Statistical
significance was set at p<0.05.

3. RESULTS

Table 1: shows the overall prevalence of
geohelminth observed from the study population.
Five out of 80 stool samples were positive for
geohelminth ova or larvae, which gave an
overall prevalence of 6.25%. The two
geohelminth eggs or larvae observed in the
stool samples were those of Hookworm (4/80)
and Strongyloides stercoralis (1/80) which gave
an overall prevalence of 6.25%. Hookworm had
the highest prevalence (5%) and the most
dominant specie, while the least was
Strongyloides stercoralis (1.25%). Only single
infections were observed with no cases of mixed
infection. However, Giardia lamblia was observed
in some of the stool samples.

Table 1. showing the overall prevalence of
geohelminths in the stool samples examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geohelminths Observed</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hookworm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongyloides. stercoralis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: shows the pattern and distribution of
gelatinous infection among the four schools.

Out of the 4 schools examined, only were 3
schools had pupils with positive results of
gelatinous infections. In relation to the
prevalence observed among the schools, Umuezeanam Central School had the highest prevalence of geohelminth infections (2/20(10%), followed by Odida Central School (2/23(8.7%) and Akwudo Central School which had the least prevalence (1/20(5%). There was no case of geohelminth infection observed among the pupils in Okpunoeze Community School, however *Giardia lamblia* was observed in this school. Correlation between the stool samples and schools showed a significant association (p< 0.05).

Table 3 shows the overall prevalence of geohelminth egg or larvae observed in soil samples of the four schools examined. A total of 40 soil samples were collected from important sites of the four schools such as: playground, toilet areas and near classroom areas. An overall prevalence of 27.5% (11/40) was obtained which showed the same pattern of geohelminth (Hookworm and *Strongyloides stercoralis*) observed in the stool samples. Hookworm was found to be the most dominant specie with the highest prevalence (7/40(17.5%), while *Strongyloides stercoralis* had the least prevalence (4/40(10%). The distribution of geohelminths in the soil varied among the four schools with Odida having the highest prevalence, 60% (6/10), followed by Umuezeanam, 30% (3/10) Akwudo 10% (1/10) and Okpunoeze 10% (1/10) which had the least prevalence. The correlation between the isolates from the soil and schools showed no significant association (p>0.05).

From the study population examined, the pattern of geohelminth infections in relation to gender was assessed (Fig. 1). It was observed that both males and females were infected with either of the two species of geohelminth recorded. Out of 50 males examined, 3 (6.0%) were infected, while 2 (6.7%) out of 30 females were infected. The result also showed that males had a higher prevalence of hookworm infection, (3/50 (6.0%) than the females (1/30(3.3%). The presence of *Strongyloides stercoralis* was only seen in females, (1/30(3.3%). Although the prevalence obtained from the females (6.7%) was slightly higher than the males (6.0%), the difference was not statistically significant (p> 0.05).

Table 2. Showing the prevalence of geohelminth infections of pupils among the four schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>No of stool samples examined</th>
<th>No (%) of positive samples</th>
<th>Hookworm no (%)</th>
<th>*Strongyloides. stercoralis no (%)</th>
<th>Pearson-r</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odida central</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.963</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umuezeanam central</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akwudo central</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(1.25)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okpunoeze community</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5 (6.25)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>1 (1.25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05*  

Table 3. Showing the prevalence of geohelminth egg/larvae in soil samples of the four schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>No of samples examined</th>
<th>No (%) of samples positive</th>
<th>Hookworm no (%)</th>
<th>S.stercoralis no (%)</th>
<th>Pearson-r</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odida</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>-.585</td>
<td>.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umuezeanam</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akwudo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okpunoeze</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P>0.05*
Table 4. Showing the prevalence of geohelminth egg/larvae in soil samples with respect to sample sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>Sample sites</th>
<th>No of samples examined</th>
<th>No (%) of positive samples</th>
<th>Hookworm no (%)</th>
<th>Strongyloides stercoralis no (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odida</td>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near classroom</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toilet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umuezeanam</td>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near classroom</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toilet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akwudo</td>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near classroom</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toilet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okpunoeze</td>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near classroom</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toilet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11(27.5)</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Showing the prevalence of infection in relation to gender

4. DISCUSSION

Geohelminth infection is a major public health challenge in children as they cause severe morbidity such as; intestinal obstruction, malnutrition, growth retardation, anaemia, impairment of cognitive abilities, heavily infected children living in rural areas of tropical and sub-tropical areas which leads to school absenteeism and hinders economic development, particularly in the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world where sanitary conditions are poor.

This study recorded an overall prevalence rate of 6.25% of geohelminths isolated from the stool samples of the four selected primary schools with two types of geohelminth identified. Contrary to this result a much lower prevalence have been recorded in studies done by Egbe-Sarah et al. [10], who recorded a prevalence of 1% among primary school children in Cameroon, A much higher prevalence have been reported by Chukwuma et al. [11] who recorded a prevalence of 87.7% among primary school children in Ebenebe town, Anambra state.

The low prevalence encountered in this study is as a result of many underlying factors such as; provision of adequate sanitary facilities such as water closet toilet, refuse bins in schools by governmental and non-governmental interventions, thus leading to improved
environmental sanitation, geographical differences, increased awareness and public sensitization about personal hygiene and knowledge of intestinal worms, previous deworming of the students. The fact that majority (73.75%) of the respondents had taken one preventive treatment (deworming drug) or the other in the previous 6 months, before they were tested, may also explain the low prevalence observed in this study. Several studies have abundantly demonstrated that periodic deworming is effective in reducing the burden of intestinal helminthiasis [12;13;14]. Moreover, this study was carried in an urban area (Nnewi North), where expectedly, people are better educated and with better access to clean water and environment compared with their counterpart in rural areas, limited sample size and restricted age group as only one age group (11-13years) was used due to restrictions by the headmistresses of the schools visited, insecurities created by the recent COVID-19 pandemic of the country (Nigeria) resulted in decreased participation. As reported by Gboeloh et al., [14], that younger children are more susceptible to STHs infection than older children. They are known for maintaining poor personal hygiene as this plays an important role in transmission of STHs infections.

The predominant soil transmitted helminth recorded in this study is *Ascaris lumbricoides* which was observed as the most dominant soil transmitted helminth.[11]. The prevalence rate obtained (5%) is comparable to studies carried by Ojurongbe et al. [15], who recorded a prevalence of 5.6%, as well as Hassan et al. [16] who recorded a prevalence of 4%.

A higher prevalence have been obtained on the studies done by Chukwuma et al. [6], who recorded a prevalence of 5.9%, [15], who recorded a prevalence of 3.7%, Hassan et al. [16] recorded a prevalence of 3.97%. The differences in the results obtained could be due to rate of environmental contamination, seasonal differences as prevalence of infection increases more during rainy season than dry season, study population which might contribute to the prevalence being low or high.

In this present study it was observed that both male and female were susceptible to the infection and to the same factors which might influence the infection. This further explains that both sexes are equally susceptible to soil-transmitted helminth infection. The high prevalence of male infected with hook worm infected can be attributed to their activities such as playing football, helping their parents on the farm, wrestling, swimming, etc. most of these activities are carried out bare-footed further predisposing them to soil transmitted helminthiasis especially, hookworm infections when in contact with contaminated soil [14;17].

The studied population had a commendable sanitation this is in contrary with studies which were carried out where the sanitation was poor especially in rural areas, [10; 18]. Majority of them claimed that they used water closet system, while few used pour flush toilet and pit toilet as a form of sewage disposal. This is important as improved sanitation serve as a protective factor against soil transmitted helminthes especially *Ascaris lumbricoides* and *Trichuris trichuria* which are majorly transmitted through feecal-oral route. The prevalence of infection was higher in students who used pour flush toilet than those with water closet. This may be due to inadequate maintenance such as; limited washing facilities (water and soap).

The study also observed an increased knowledge about geohelmints and deworming of the students in all the four schools examined this. School based deworming is one of the strong three pillars of the WHO in eradicating the prevalence of geohelmintiasis in tropical areas, [18]. However, the prevalence (9.5%) of those not dewormed who were infected was slightly higher than those dewormed who were also infected, (5.1%). This is also of a public concern as those not dewormed can serve as a vehicle of transmission of soil-transmitted helminth infection to others.

In the present study, the rate of soil contamination of the school compounds was found to be 27.5%. The overall result was similar to the findings of [19], who recorded a prevalence of 23.3% in Osun state, Awosolu et al., [20] recorded a prevalence of 61% in Owena. The two helminth species recovered from the soil sample were Hookworms and *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Although prevalence of STHs was higher in soil samples than in faecal samples, the pattern of distribution of soil-transmitted helminth infections in the soil was similar to the pattern of infection in study subjects; same species of helminth was implicated in both samples. This suggests that the soil plays a major role in the epidemiology of soil transmitted soil transmitted
helminth infections in the study area. The fact that the adult stages of these worms reside in the intestine, the presence of the ova in soil is indicative of environmental faecal pollution which is supported by the findings of faeces around the school environment.

The dominant specie observed was still hookworm as the ecological conditions were favourable to the development of the parasite. Hook worms and Strongyloides stercoralis larvae remain quiescent in the moisture films of contaminated soils until contact with suitable host is made where it penetrate through the skin. This is supported by the fact that the toilet areas showed the highest prevalence as students deface around these areas due to dysfunctionality and inappropriate maintenance of their toilet system present in schools.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of Hook worm was poorly reported by wet mount technique. The diagnostic performance of concentration methods for the diagnosis of Hook worms in the samples was notably high as compared to wet mount techniques.

The present study recommended more efforts should be directed in maintaining adequate environmental sanitation and continuous sensitization about the knowledge of geohelminths should be carried out especially in government public schools, until there’s zero prevalence of this soil-transmitted helminth.
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